



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_60013
Title of the Manuscript:	SARS-CoV-2 was unexpectedly deadlier than push-scooters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Depending on the mechanism of action of Hydroxychloroquine, I think this drug doesn't give us the prophylactic effect that we imagine it, because I think it is just slowing the viral replication inside the infected cells, especially the new infected people, I mean maybe, ones this person stop taking this drug, the remaining intracellular viruses will start to replicate again and start spreading when the favorable condition get back, and the immune system still didn't recognize it. This drug will not prevent the infection, because we still have the receptors that the virus needs it to enter into the cells. In addition the side effect of this drug even in low dose without well monitoring compared to the little benefit.</p> <p>Now on the other hand using this drug in severe cases may have a good effect of decreasing the number of the virus by decreasing their replication until patient stabilized. As well as the moderate cases that suspected to become severe cases.</p>	Thank you very much for your interest. This discussion about mechanism of action of hydroxychloroquine is really interesting and will prompt us to think quickly about a second article more focused on pathophysiology.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	